Palaeoproterozoic age of a basement gneiss complex in the Charcot Land tectonic window, East Greenland Caledonides
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The Charcot Land tectonic window exposes crystalline basement gneisses, which form part of the foreland of the East Greenland Caledonides. These gneisses were previously believed to be Archaean in age, on the basis of imprecise K-Ar analyses carried out in the early 1980s on hornblende from amphibolitic bands and inconclusive Rb/Sr isotope data. New U-Pb single-zircon ion microprobe analyses on the gneisses of the window yield upper intercept ages of 1916 ± 21 and 1928 ± 11 Ma, and are interpreted to represent the age of crystallisation of the igneous protolith. The foreland gneisses of the Charcot Land window are similar in age to parts of the allochthonous gneiss complexes of structurally overlying thrust sheets, but the two terranes have different lithological and structural characteristics. No Archaean rocks have been identified with certainty in any of the East Greenland Caledonian foreland windows.
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Fig. 1. Geological map of the East Greenland Caledonides. Frame indicates study area shown at larger scale in Fig. 2.
aeoproterozoic ages (c. 1900 Ma; Rex & Gledhill 1981; Kalsbeek et al. 1993; Thrane 2002). The Krummedal supracrustal sequence, that overlies the Flyverfjord infracrustal complex as well as the similar gneiss complexes between latitudes 70° and 76°N, was deposited later than 1100 Ma ago, and underwent high grade metamorphism with generation of granites c. 940 Ma ago (Kalsbeek et al. 2000). The contact between the Krummedal supracrustal sequence and the structurally overlying Neoproterozoic–Ordovician sedimentary succession is a shear zone, interpreted as an extensional detachment or locally a thrust (Escher & Jones 1998; Leslie & Higgins 1998). The western border of the main Neoproterozoic–Ordovician outcrop in the fjord region from 72°–75°N is a late orogenic extensional fault system (e.g. Hartz & Andresen 1995). The c. 13 km thick Neoproterozoic Eleonore Bay Supergroup succession (Sønderholm & Tirsgaard 1993) together with the Vendian Tillite Group (800–1000 m) and Cambro–Ordovician shelf sequence (up to 4 km), constitute the highest part of the uppermost Caledonian thrust sheet (Higgins et al. 2004).

In 1968, the southern part of the Charcot Land area was mapped by the Geological Survey of Greenland (Henriksen & Higgins 1969, 1976; Steck 1971), during a systematic regional mapping project in the Scoresby Sund region (70°–72°N). During the 1997 and 1998 Survey expeditions to the Kong Oscar Fjord region (72°–75°N), the northern part of Charcot Land was mapped by Frederichsen & Thrane (1998). Sample collections were made for ion microprobe zircon studies, the results of which are reported here.

**Geological setting**

The foreland rock units exposed within the Charcot Land window include crystalline basement orthogneisses with interleaved amphibolite bands, that are over lain by a c. 2000 m thick succession of various meta sedimentary rocks (including white marble) and metamorphosed basic extrusive and intrusive rocks (Charcot Land supracrustal sequence). These units are cut by two major granitoid intrusions which crop out widely in central Charcot Land (Fig. 2); one is a hornblende-biotite quartz diorite to granodiorite body and the other a pegmatitic muscovite granite. In southern most Charcot Land a hornblende gabbro body intrudes the basement gneisses.

Tillit Nunatak in south-west Charcot Land takes its name from a sheared tillite resting unconformably on the granodiorite intrusion. This tillite has been correlated with the Vendian tillites of the fjord zone (Moncrieff 1989), as has a similar tillite in the Gåseland window. The Charcot Land tillite is very little deformed but does contain a planar cleavage.

The Charcot Land supracrustal sequence of southern most Charcot Land is characterised by greenschist facies metamorphism. Metamorphic grade increases towards the north-east and reaches upper amphibolite facies at ~ 72°N latitude (Steck 1971). Further to the north, the metamorphic grade again decreases (Frederichsen & Thrane 1998).

The eastern and western boundaries of the Charcot Land window are marked by major Caledonian thrusts (Fig. 2). The western thrust dips gently to the
west and is only exposed on the Alfabet Nunatak, while the eastern thrust dips to the east and can be traced for more than 25 km in western Hinks Land (Higgins 1982).

North-east of Charcot Land, on the north-east side of innermost Nordvestfjord, a very large-scale, recumbent, west-vergent isoclinal anticline makes up the eastern boundary of the window (Fig. 3). This fold nappe is sitting in the hanging wall and is made up by the cover rocks from outside of the window. The nappe, first recognised by Eduard Wenk and Helge Backlund in 1934 (Backlund in Koch 1955; Wenk 1956), is outlined by a conspicuous white marble unit that has an isoclinal fold closure some 25 km north-west of its first appearance opposite the front of Daugaard-Jensen Gletscher. However, the narrow closure that Wenk and Backlund observed from fjord level accounts for less than half of the magnitude of this fold nappe. Over its entire length, the structure could easily accommodate a translative movement of the proportions necessary to form the Charcot Land window, a minimum of 40 km displacement (Friderichsen & Thrane 1998).

Fig. 3. Part of the major, recumbent, west-verging isoclinal fold that defines the eastern boundary of the Charcot Land window (hanging wall). The isocline is outlined by the two white marble bands (m) which form a closure just beyond the left side of the photograph. The black units are amphibolite. North-east of innermost Nordvestfjord (see Fig. 2); profile height about 1000 m.

**Structures**

The broad structure of the Charcot Land window is an elongate N–S-trending dome (Fig. 2). Within the window, foliation trends have a general NW–SE strike and dip at shallow angles to the east. Lineations plunge at moderate angles eastwards, between north-east and south-east. Major folds have trends between E–W and NW–SE, while minor folds have more variable trends and plunge directions.

The crystalline basement terrain east and north-east of Charcot Land, that forms part of the structurally overlying thrust sheet, exhibits a different pattern of structures. Friderichsen & Thrane (1998) mapped a broad area of the allochthonous gneisses north-east of Charcot Land. They record the general foliation trends in eastern parts of their area as NE–SW to N–S with an eastwards dip, with linear elements plunging at moderate to shallow angles to the east or south-east; these were interpreted as Caledonian structures. The presence of major, recumbent, NW-verging folds suggests the NE–SW trends might be a response to Caledonian compression, while the N–S trends may relate to Caledonian extension, mainly seen as ductile top-down-to-the-east faults. In the western part of their area, Friderichsen & Thrane (1998) noted the structural pattern as more diffuse, perhaps due to a
less intense Caledonian overprinting of older and more complex pre-Caledonian structures. In general the foliation trends are NE–SW, with NW-plunging lineations.

Although the general foliation trends within the Charcot Land window are also broadly NW–SE, like those in the eastern areas of the overlying thrust sheets, the structures in Charcot Land are not interpreted as Caledonian. Lineations in Charcot Land also have much steeper eastward dips than in the thrust sheets. Further evidence that the Caledonian overprint within the window was generally weak is that the large Palaeoproterozoic granite intrusions cutting the basement gneisses in Charcot Land show no evidence of deformation. The only certain Caledonian structures are the planar cleavage in the diamictites of the Vendian Tillit Nunatak formation.

The major thrust along the east side of the Charcot Land window is well-defined in north-west Hinks Land (Fig. 2), but its northward continuation on the north-east side of Nordvestfjord was previously uncertain (Henriksen & Higgins 1976). On the basis of their 1997 studies, Friderichsen & Thrane (1998) proposed that the movement might have been taken up by the major nappe-like fold on the north-east side of the Charcot Land window (Fig. 3). The trend and westward sense of overturning of this nappe-like fold support the viewpoint that it is a Caledonian structure, although no other comparable structures were observed within the window. A thick low-grade succession of dark phyllitic shales observed in the crest of the fold has also been traced in the western nunataks of Charcot Land, substantially increasing the possible extent of the structure. In addition a thrust associated with this nappe-like major fold suggests that the total displacement was probably significantly more than the 40 km proposed by Henriksen & Higgins (1976) and Henrik sen (1986).

Previous geochronological studies

Hansen et al. (1981) analysed hornblende from amphibolite bands within the Charcot Land basement gneisses, and obtained K-Ar ages of 2097 ± 105 Ma and 2855 ± 145 Ma. These were regarded as minimum ages for the amphibolite units of the crystalline complex. Rb-Sr whole rock analyses on samples of the gneisses were undertaken by Hansen (1976), but while the results did not yield any exact ages, there were indications that the gneisses might be more than 2200 Ma old. Based on lithology and age an Archaean origin comparable to that of the Flyverfjord basement gneisses was thought likely. Rb-Sr whole rock analyses were also undertaken on samples of the widespread pegmatitic muscovite granite body, that intrudes both the basement gneisses and the supracrystal rocks in Charcot Land (Hansen et al. 1981); an age of c. 1850 Ma was considered to indicate the approximate time of intrusion. K-Ar analyses on large muscovite crystals from the same granite yielded ages of 1760 ± 60 and 1870 ± 60 Ma, interpreted as a minimum age of emplacement (Hansen et al. 1981). Based on field observations, Steck (1971) argued that the granite was intruded after the main metamorphism of the supracrystal rocks, which was suggested to have taken place about 1900–1850 Ma ago (Hansen et al. 1981).

A young Rb-Sr biotite age of 402 ± 10 Ma from an amphibolite, together with a biotite-feldspar-whole-rock isochron age of 402 ± 8 Ma for samples of the pegmatitic granite, were considered to reflect a Caledonian greenschist facies metamorphic overprint (Hansen et al. 1981).

Isotopic data from orthogneiss units in some of the other foreland areas exposed along the margin of the Caledonian orogen in East Greenland have yielded Palaeoproterozoic protolith ages, e.g. Kalsbeek et al. (1993) and Tucker et al. (1993) obtained Palaeoproterozoic ages for basement gneisses from the western part of Dronning Louise Land (77°N, 25°W) and quartz porphyry bodies and grey granites within the Eleonore Sø window have yielded similar ages (Higgins & Leslie 2004, this volume). None of the so far dated foreland rock units have yielded convincing Archaean ages.

Geochronology

Samples

Two representative samples from the basement gneiss complex were studied. Sample 426040 is a fine-grained, light grey, granodioritic orthogneiss, and sample 426041 a dark grey, coarse-grained, granodioritic orthogneiss (Fig. 4). Both rock units exhibit a penetrative gneissosity and mineral lineation, and are cut by deformed light-coloured granite veins and undeformed pegmatitic muscovite granite veins. In the field, the age relationships between the two orthogneisses are very clear; the fine-grained orthogneiss (426040) intrudes and cuts the coarse-grained orthogneiss (426041). The limited field work undertaken in Charcot Land in 1997, and that undertaken earlier during the 1968–
1972 Scoresby Sund expeditions (Henriksen 1986), indicate both gneiss types to be part of the regional basement gneiss complex, the oldest rocks exposed in Charcot Land.

Analytical methods for the ion microprobe study

U-Pb dating of zircons was undertaken using the Cameca IMS 1270 ion probe at the NORDSIM laboratory, Swedish Museum of Natural History, Stockholm. Approximately 50 zircon grains from each sample were hand-picked, and mounted in a transparent epoxy resin together with reference zircons 91500 (from Ontario, Canada, with a weighted average 207\(^{\text{Pb}}/206^{\text{Pb}}\) age of 1065 Ma; Wiedenbeck et al. 1995). The zircon grains were polished sufficiently to expose any potentially older cores. The mounts were examined by reflected light microscopy and by backscatter imaging in a scanning electron microscope and then coated with c. 30 nm of gold. Analytical procedures are similar to those described by Schuhmacher et al. (1994) and Whitehouse et al. (1997). Calibration of Pb/U ratios follows procedures similar to those used by the ion probe group at the Australian National University (Williams 1998), and is based on observed relationships between Pb/U and UO\(_2\)/U, during the same analytical run. Results are given as discordia line intercept ages. The ages were calculated using Isoplot/Ex (Ludwig 1999).

Analytical results

The U-Pb zircon data (Table 1) are presented in conventional concordia diagrams (Fig. 6; 1 \(\sigma\) error ellipses).

Sample 426041

Most of the zircons in sample 426041 are elongate, prismatic and clear, with sizes ranging from 100 to 400 mm, most commonly between 200 and 250 mm. They contain solid homogenous cores, some of which show oscillatory zonation. The rims are broad, metamict and show zonation. From the backscatter images, it is not clear whether the rims are metamorphic or simply alteration rims (Fig. 5). However, there is a clear chemical variation, with the rims having much lower Th/U ratios (0.02–0.07) than the cores (0.19–0.36), and also containing more common lead (Table 1). The variation in the Th/U ratios indicates that the rims may have a metamorphic origin, while the higher common lead content may be a result of alteration of the rims. In the concordia diagram (Fig. 6A), all the analyses (rims as well as cores) fall on the same discordia line. The upper intercept age is 1916 ± 21 Ma and the lower intercept date of 443 ± 25 Ma (MSWD = 10.9), where the upper intercept is interpreted as the best approximation of the crystallisation age of the gneiss protolith. Most of the rims plot on the discordia line, indicating that they must have a Palaeoproterozoic origin very close in age to the protolith, e.g. the rock must have been exposed to metamor-

Fig. 4. Dark grey orthogneiss (426041) cut by a broad dyke of light grey orthogneiss (426040). Both are cut by later pegmatitic granite veins. Water flowing from right to left produces the colour differences between wet and dry rocks.

Fig. 5. Backscatter image of zircon number 7 from sample 426041. The circles indicate the ion probe analysis sites.
Table 1. SIMS U-Th-Pb analytical data and derived ages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample/spot#</th>
<th>U ppm</th>
<th>Pb ppm</th>
<th>Th ppm</th>
<th>Th/U meas.</th>
<th>f % common</th>
<th>207Pb ±σ</th>
<th>207Pb Th/U %</th>
<th>206Pb ±σ</th>
<th>206Pb Th/U %</th>
<th>204Pb ±σ</th>
<th>204Pb Th/U %</th>
<th>Disc. %</th>
<th>Ages (Ma) 204Pb ±σ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>426040</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9c</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>137</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.1170</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>5.275</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.327</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>-5.3</td>
<td>1911 5 1865 7 1824 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6c</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.1125</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>4.041</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.261</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>-21.1</td>
<td>1840 6 1642 7 1493 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5c</td>
<td>717</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>279</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.1034</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>2.713</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.190</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>-36.3</td>
<td>1686 8 1332 7 1123 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1c</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>0.0998</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>1.739</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>-55.8</td>
<td>1620 10 1023 6 767 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3c</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.0996</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>2.243</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>0.163</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>-42.7</td>
<td>1616 17 1195 9 975 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4c</td>
<td>856</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>362</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>0.0971</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>2.062</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td>0.154</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>-44.1</td>
<td>1569 8 1136 8 923 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2c</td>
<td>752</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>194</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>0.0958</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>2.007</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>-43.8</td>
<td>1543 11 1118 8 912 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7r</td>
<td>7482</td>
<td>463</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>4.80</td>
<td>0.0527</td>
<td>5.96</td>
<td>0.425</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>0.058</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>317 130 359 18 366 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>426041</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2c</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.1165</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>4.883</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.304</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>-11.6</td>
<td>1904 7 1799 8 1711 13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13c</td>
<td>247</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.1143</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>4.857</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.308</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>-8.3</td>
<td>1869 9 1795 10 1732 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14c</td>
<td>503</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.1115</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>3.635</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.236</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>-27.7</td>
<td>1824 8 1557 7 1368 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4c</td>
<td>364</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.1107</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>3.707</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.243</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>-25.1</td>
<td>1810 7 1573 8 1402 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2r</td>
<td>475</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.1086</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>3.424</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.229</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>-27.9</td>
<td>1775 7 1510 8 1328 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7c</td>
<td>780</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.1067</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>3.415</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.232</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>-25.3</td>
<td>1745 5 1508 8 1345 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9c</td>
<td>618</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.1057</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>2.711</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>0.186</td>
<td>1.06</td>
<td>-39.4</td>
<td>1727 8 1332 9 1100 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1c</td>
<td>683</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.0999</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>2.288</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>-41.9</td>
<td>1621 8 1208 7 991 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7r</td>
<td>3705</td>
<td>594</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.0935</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>1.827</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.142</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>-45.8</td>
<td>1498 5 1055 6 854 7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8r</td>
<td>3445</td>
<td>514</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.0924</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>1.727</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.136</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>-47.3</td>
<td>1475 6 1019 6 820 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9r</td>
<td>2780</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.0750</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.992</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.096</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>-46.7</td>
<td>1068 12 700 6 591 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15r</td>
<td>3761</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>159</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>0.0732</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>0.867</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>-49.8</td>
<td>1019 13 634 5 531 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3r</td>
<td>3824</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.0693</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>0.823</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.086</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>-43.2</td>
<td>909 11 609 5 532 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11r</td>
<td>3622</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>0.0598</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.630</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>-21.2</td>
<td>597 17 496 5 475 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5r</td>
<td>3622</td>
<td>280</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>0.0548</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.542</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.072</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>-11.4</td>
<td>403 19 440 4 447 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Errors on ratios and ages are quoted at 1σ level.

f % common is the fraction of common 206Pb estimated from the measured 204Pb.
Disc. % refers to the degree of discordance of the zircon analysis.
"phism soon after crystallisation of the protolith, and these rims have later suffered Pb loss. Only a few rims cluster around the lower intercept and could reflect the time when the gneiss suffered Caledonian metamorphism.

Sample 426040

The morphologies of the zircons in 426040 are very similar to those in sample 426041. Most have long prismatic shapes, but stubby grains also occur. The zircons are clear and range in size from 100 to 250 mm. Both homogenous and oscillatory zoned cores are present, while the rims are often metamict. In this study mostly cores were analysed. They plot on a discordia line (Fig. 6B) yielding intercept ages of 1928 ± 11 Ma and 467 ± 18 Ma (MSWD = 0.74). Two rims were analysed; these do not plot on the discordia line, but one of them plots on the concordia line, yielding a 206Pb/238U age of 366 ± 3 Ma.

Discussion

The two analysed samples of basement gneisses from the Charcot Land window yield identical upper intercept ages for the protolith within error, 1928 ± 11 and 1916 ± 21 Ma. The fact that the two orthogneisses yield the same age demonstrates that several distinct magma pulses were emplaced during this time period. If the Rb-Sr whole-rock age of c. 1850 Ma reported by Hansen et al. (1981) for the undeformed muscovite granite is valid, then the time of deformation and gneissification is bracketed between c. 1920 and 1850 Ma. The Palaeoproterozoic metamorphic effect seen in the growth of rims on zircons in sample 426041 might have been caused by the Palaeoproterozoic intrusive activity. The lower concordia intercepts suggesting Caledonian ages are indicative of some Pb loss and alteration of the zircons (Fig. 6), and can be linked to burial of the foreland beneath a several kilometre thick pile of Caledonian thrust sheets. Within the Charcot Land window limited Caledonian deformation is recorded, e.g. development of a planar cleavage in the diamictites of the Vendian Tillit Nunatak Formation, and associated folding in the underlying basement gneisses (Moncrieff 1989). However, Caledonian deformation was not pervasive and, as noted above, the Palaeoproterozoic muscovite granite body has no internal fabric.

The new age determinations reported here indicate that the protolith of the basement gneiss complex in Charcot Land is Palaeoproterozoic, rather than Archaean as suggested by Hansen (1976) and Hansen et al. (1981). At the current state of knowledge there is, in fact, no convincing isotopic evidence of the presence of Archaean basement rocks in any of the foreland windows along the western margin of the Caledonian orogen.

Volcano-sedimentary sequences of Palaeoproterozoic age are known in the Charcot Land and Eleonore So windows (Higgins et al. 2001), but are not known in the allochthonous thrust sheets that overlie the foreland windows. Similarly, the late Mesoproterozoic – early Neoproterozoic Krummedal supracrustal sequence that is widely represented in the thrust sheets..."
structurally above these windows is not present within them. It could, therefore, be argued that the known Archaean rock units, such as the allochthonous Flyverfjord infracrustal complex south of latitude 72°50′N, represent a terrain that was accreted onto the Palaeoproterozoic foreland.

There remain many uncertainties in making regional assessments. For example, modern isotopic age data are still lacking for the crystalline basement rocks of the southern Gåseland window, while metasedimentary rocks found as infolded layers within the allochthonous crystalline basement gneisses north of latitude 72°N (Friderichsen & Thrane 1998; Thrane & Friderichsen 1999) are of uncertain age. The assumption that these infolded metasedimentary layers are related to the thick developments of the late Mesoproterozoic – early Neoproterozoic Krummedal sequence is not proven, and it cannot be excluded that they are equivalents of the Palaeoproterozoic Charcot Land supracrustal sequence. The absence of representatives of the Krummedal supracrustal sequence in the foreland windows could be considered as support for the view that this sequence was deposited far away from the Flyverfjord crystalline basement and that these units were juxtaposed during the Caledonian orogeny (Watt & Thrane 2001).

The ages obtained from the basement gneiss complex in the Charcot Land foreland window are not dissimilar from the ages obtained on the allochthonous crystalline basement complexes north of 72°50′N (Rex & Gledhill 1981; Kalsbeek et al. 1993; Thrane 2002), although the lithological make-up of the two basement complexes is different. Characteristic features of Charcot Land include the spectacular Palaeoproterozoic granitoid intrusions, which occur both as net-veining dykes and as major undeformed plutons. Such characteristic features are uncommon in the allochthonous Palaeoproterozoic crystalline basement rocks to the north. It is the author’s opinion that the grey orthogneisses in the allochthonous crystalline basement north of 72°50′N originally had much the same appearance as the Charcot Land orthogneisses, but that the former suffered much more intense deformation during the Caledonian orogeny. Thus, the Charcot Land basement gneisses and the allochthonous basement gneiss complexes north of 72°50′N could once have been parts of the same terrain prior to separation by Caledonian thrusting, with the major nappe-like structure on the north-east side of the Charcot Land window taking up the thrust movement. Alternatively, the two Palaeoproterozoic basement terrains may originally have been unrelated, and with different histories, but have been brought into close proximity by thrusting during the Caledonian orogeny.
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